UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,004	10/31/2003	Hirohisa Tashiro	SHO-0024	8250
	7590 08/11/200 IAN & GRAUER PL I	EXAMINER		
LION BUILDI		HSU, RYAN		
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/697,004	TASHIRO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
RYAN HSU	3714	

	RYAN HSU	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of Areplies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: cension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee the action; or (2) as the ven if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection filed after a final rejection filed after a final rejection filed after a filed a	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a context of the second and apart from the second respective one of the window frames surround periphery being continguous to a respective symbol prior art as the scope the claims is different than with the second respective or the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the claims is different than with the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the claims is different than with the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the claims is different than with the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the claims is different than with the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the claims is different than with the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the claims is different than the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the claims is different than the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the claims is different than the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the claims is different than the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the claims is different than the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the claims is different than the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the claims is different than the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the claims is different than the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the second respective symbol prior art as the scope the second respective symbol prior art as the s	espective one of the window frames e inner periphery to define a window ding a respective one of the symbol of display" would require further con hat was previously considered. (Se	s having an inner perig w frame display area of old isplay parts with a losideration and/or a ne ee 37 CFR 1.116 and	therebetween, respective inner ew search of the 41.33(a)).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	·		,
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Robert E Pezzuto/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080805

Application No.